Application No. 10/615,627 Amendment Dated December 16, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 22, 2004

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement in the Office Action under response, applicant elects to proceed with the prosecution of method claims 64-126.

The amendments correct dependency in claim 76 and in claims 124 and 125 correct obvious typographical errors in the reference to their parent claim, as each of claims 124 and 125 depends from independent method claim 113.

In view of the foregoing, favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert A. Lloyd, Reg. Ng/25,694

Pyle & Piontek

221 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 850

Chicago, IL 60601

(312) 236-8123